Trapping Ambrosia beetles common in Nurseries

Austin Gorzlancyk and David Held Auburn University Dept of Entomology and Plant Pathology

Size variance, height of traps

- The main cause is the male/female variance.
- Sex ratio is typically 10F:1M, with males being smaller.
- The average flying heights (based on trap data) are 1.2m-1.6m, the ideal area for ambrosia beetles to attack a tree.

Sites vary in species and abundance

| Year | No. genera (total) | # species (total) |
|------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1998 | 6-10 (11) | 7-18 (21) |
| 1999 | 6-9 (9) | 12-18 (19) |

Abundance is different between years on the same site (ranked the same)

| Year | No. beetles per site (average) | |
|------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1998 | 117-1464 (683) | |
| 1999 | 627-1193 (920) | |

A few species dominate

| | % of total species | | |
|---|--------------------|------|-------|
| Species | 1998 | 1999 | total |
| Xyleborinus saxeseni Ratzeburg | 38.6 | 57.9 | 49.7 |
| Monarthrum fasciatum Say | 25 | <5 | 12.5 |
| Xylosandrus crassiusculus (Motschulsky) | 11.3 | 22.4 | 17.6 |
| Xyleborus pelliculosus Eichhoff | 6.8 | <5 | 4.1 |
| Monarthrum mali Fitch | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| Hypothenemus spp. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Xylosandrus germanus Blandford | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.7 |

| Table 2. | Total and percent emergence of different species of |
|-------------|--|
| ambrosia be | etle from chestnut at the Nursery Crop Research Sta- |
| tion, McMin | nville, TN, in 1999 |

| | No. Cages | No. emerging | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Species | | Males | Females | Total |
| No Emergence | 96 | | | |
| Solitary | | | | |
| Hypothenemus sp. 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Hypothenemus sp. 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Hypothenemus sp. 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Xyleborinus saxeseni | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Xylosandrus crassiusculus | 15 | 10 | 148 | 158 |
| Xylosandrus germanus | 61 | 16 | 257 | 273 |
| Mixed | | | | |
| Xylosandrus crassiusculus | 4 | 1 | 28 | 29 |
| Xylosandrus germanus | | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Hypothenemus sp. 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Hypothenemus sp. 4 | | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Xyleborinus saxeseni | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Xylosandrus germanus | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Total | 184 | 32 | 462 | 494 |

The dominant species in traps isn't always the species attacking:

Hypothenemus spp.: 0.4% of capture

X. germanus: 0.4% of capture

203 galleries were not caged.

Table 3. Average height, stem caliper, compass direction, and number of progeny emerging for the primary species of ambrosia beetles collected from chestnut at the Nursery Crop Research Station, McMinnville, TN, in 1999

| | Gallery site measurements (mean \pm SE) | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Species | Height, cm | Stem caliper, cm | Compass direction, ° | Progeny per gallery (mean ± SE) |
| Xylosandrus germanus | $24.3 \pm 4.1a$ | $11.5 \pm 0.5a$ | $195.2 \pm 13.1a$ | 4.4 ± 0.5 a |
| Xylosandrus crassiusculus | $28.7 \pm 4.1 a$ | 10.2 ± 0.7 a | $238.6 \pm 22.0a$ | $9.9 \pm 4.0a$ |
| Hypothenemus sp. | $60.4 \pm 6.9 \mathrm{b}$ | $5.5 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{b}$ | 185.4 ± 33.4a | $1.2 \pm 0.2 b$ |

Species differ in:

Fecundity (progeny)

Height of attack

Stem caliper at gallery

Trapped year round in 1998, 1999

Two peaks for Xylosandrus crassiusculus Apr-Jun and Aug-Oct Xylosandrus germanus-one spring peak Xyleborinus saxeseni-two peaks similar to X. crassusisculus

Attack period follow spring emergence for Xylosandrus spp.

Attack period coincident with peak trap collections.

Traps good for detecting activity but may provide false positives of when tress are under attack.

Location of attacks -Most near buds or leaf scars

Development time similar for X. crass and X. germanus (55d from attack to emergence).

> Mannion and Oliver 2001 Environ Entomol Ranger et al. 2010 Agric Forest Entomol.

Frass sticks isn't a species identification:

Produced by Xylosandrus germanus, X. crassiusculus, and Xyleborinus saxseni

Stress volatiles are emitted from "stressed" trees Ethanol, methanol, acetaldehyde, acetone

Ethanol>Methanol were the most attractive to *X. germanus*

Ranger et al. 2010 Agric. Forest Entomol.

Stress volatiles are emitted from "stressed" trees Ethanol, methanol, acetaldehyde, acetone

Ethanol>Methanol were the most attractive to *X. germanus*

Ranger et al. 2010 Agric. Forest Entomol.

Why not just continue spraying?

Costs Financial Environmental

Secondary pest outbreaks in nurseries Steven Frank NC State

Pyrethroid review by EPA