ENHANCING COTTON PRODUCTION BY INCORPORATING SOD INTO TRADITIONAL ROW CROPPING SEQUENCES IN VIRGINIA: ANOMALY OR REALITY?

> Joel C. Faircloth J. Michael Weeks, Jr. Pat M. Phipps Mark M. Alley Chris Teutsch







Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Summary

Introduction

- Materials and Methods
- 2006 Cotton
- 2007 Peanuts
- Producer survey results
- Conclusions
- Future

Justification

 University of Florida, Auburn, and University of Georgia bahiagrass Pesearch Next farm bill Reduce risks **Reduce pesticide load** Moisture conservation NRCS programs Horse farms

Why Orchardgrass and Fescue?



Current Tillage





Objectives of Rotation Study

Quantify changes in soil quality parameters in traditional and sod based cropping sequences

2. Examine changes in pathogen and nematode populations in traditional and sod based cropping sequences

2006- Examine cotton growth, development and yield response influenced by cropping sequences

1.

3.

Treatments

Rotation	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
1	Peanut	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton	Cotton
2	Peanut	Cotton	Corn	Cotton	Peanut
3	Peanut	Cotton	Peanut	Cotton	Peanut
4	Peanut	Tall fescue	Tall fescue	Cotton	Peanut
5	Peanut	Orchardgrass	Orchardgrass	Cotton	Peanut
6	Peanut	Tall fescue	Tall fescue	Tall fescue	Peanut
7	Peanut	Orchardgrass	Orchardgrass	Orchardgrass	Peanut
8	Peanut	Soybean	Cotton	Cotton	Peanut
理",影			Therese in the second se		

Materials and Methods

Tidewater AREC - Suffolk, VA Plots 8 row (36" centers) x 40'

VCE extension recommendations

Cotton Growth

- Height
- Nodes
- Nodes above white flower
- Leaf area index
- Tissue analysis
- End of season plant mapping
 Yield and lint quality

Nodes Above White Flower





October 2004



April 2005



May 2006





June 2006

2006

F-F-Ct



S-Ct-Ct



Plant Height

Cotton Heights 2006





Nodes, Leaf Area Index and NAWF

	Avg. Nodes per plant		LAI	Nodes Above White Flower		
Treatment	28-Jun-06	27-Jul-06	9-Aug-06	18-Aua-06	27-Jul-06	9-Aua-06
			j	j ==		<u> </u>
Ct-Ct-Ct-Ct	7.1 b	13.7 ab	14.2 b	1.56 bc	6.5 a	3.8 a
Ct-C-Ct-P	7.2 b	13.2 b	13.2 c	1.20 c	6.1a	3.4 a
Ct-P-Ct-P	7.3 b	13.1 b	14.3 ab	1.84 abc	6.4 a	4.0 a
F-F-Ct-P	8.1 a	14.3 a	15.3 a	2.20 a	6.4 a	4.8 a
O-O-Ct-P	7.4 b	14 a	14.7 ab	1.97 ab	6.5 a	4.1 a
S-Ct-Ct-P	7.1 b	13.1 b	13.8 bc	1.35 bc	6.1 a	3.5 a

Vegetative



Bolls / plant



Bolls/Plant by Zone





Tissue Nutrient Analysis

	Leaf tissue analysis by %			Petiole tissue analysis by %			
Treatment	sulfur	phosphorous	potassium	sulfur	phosphorous	potassium	
Ct-Ct-Ct	0.608 ab	0.325 bc	2.175 a	0.240 a	0.275 bc	6.663 a	
Ct-C-Ct	0.378 c	0.353 bc	2.035 a	0.125 b	0.263 bc	5.003 a	
Ct-P-Ct	0.730 a	0.318 c	ab	0.240 a	0.243 c	6.045 a	
F-F-Ct	0.670 a	0.440 a	1.540 c	0.260 a	0.390 a	5.985 a	
O-O-Ct	0.655 a	0.378 b	bc	0.235 a	ab	6.705 a	
S-Ct-Ct	0.468 bc	0.300 c	2.035 a	0.158 b	0.210 c	5.875 a	

No significant differences between % nitrogen, magnesium, calcium or sodium in leaf or petiole

Fisher's Protected LSD, P ≤ 0.055 level



Seasonal Rainfall, May 1 _September 1, 2006



Accumulated rainfall as of Sept. 1 = 22.40"







Peanuts 2007





Conventional and 2 yr sod + 1 yr cotton cracking/emergence.



3 yr sod cracking/emergence 4 days after other rotations.

Producer Survey

In a survey conducted in 2006:
Acres represented were 10% (cotton) and18% (peanut)
65% of producers grew cotton
90% of producers grew peanuts
61% of producers grew both

Survey of producers at county meetings in 2006

Producer Survey

49% of producers surveyed have livestock

 39% of producers surveyed currently grow forage crops for harvest

 68% indicated interest if it is economically feasible

Survey of producers at county meetings in 2006

Producer Survey Conclusions

A large percentage of Virginia row crop producers have livestock and/or potential for forage production
Producer adoption in Virginia could be substantial depending on the economics associated with the rotations and the upcoming farm bill

Conclusions

Incorporating perennial grasses into traditional row cropping sequenced can result in:

1) increased % boll retention, total bolls/plt, fruiting nodes/plt, vegetative nodes/plt, and vegetative bolls/plt.

increased yield

2)

Yield enhancement may be magnified by lack of rainfall

Perennial grasses may be useful for moving into conservation tillage management plans

Future Goals

Peanuts in 2007

- Further understand the underlying causes of the enhanced cotton growth and development following perennial grasses observed in 2006
- Secure funding
- Large on-farm research
- Continue small plot research

Acknowledgements

Virginia Cotton Board
Cotton Incorporated
Virginia Peanut Board
Virginia Agricultural Council
Mike Weeks

 – 3:50 – Plant Responses to Rotation and Conservation Cropping Systems

