MINIMUM TILLAGE FOR CORN IN CENTRAL FLORIDA

R. B. Forbes, W. T. Scudder, and R. N. Gallaher Associate Professor and Professor, Central Florida Research and Education Center, Sanford, FL 327/1 and Professor of Agronomy, respectively, IFAS, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

Interest in the minimum tillage concept in Florida has grown in recent years as a result of concern for energy saving economy and soil conservation. New techniques, materials, and equipment have given added impetus for further research and utilization of minimum tillage.

The objective of this study was to test the effects of minimum tillage planting and cultural practices versus conventional practices on field corn on old vegetable land in central Florida.

Materials and Methods

Corn (Zea mays, L.) was planted and grown under four different tillage treatments. The experiment was conducted at the University of Florida's Central Florida Research and Education Center at Sanford, Florida. on St. Johns fine sand (a Typic Haplaquod). The area used was in an old field adjacent to the center's farm on land which had lain idle for a number of years, but had been in soybeans in 1979. Soil test values were as follows:

Extractable nutrients ¹			,1	
pН	Ca	М д	P	K
		ppm		
5.7	580	59	24	21

 $^{^{1}}$ Mehlich I Extractant (0.05 N HC1 in 0.025 N H $_{2}$ SO $_{4}$) average of 4 replicates.

By the April 1980 planting date, a partial cover of broadleaf weeds had developed in the soybean stubble. Predominant were ragweed (Ambrosia artemesifolia) and dogfennel (Eupatorium capillifolium).

Tillage and cultural details are given in Table 1. The conventional tillage plots were given a light cultivation after side-dressing. No irrigation was applied. Corn was harvested July 15, 1980, and yields calculated at 15.5% moisture.

Results and Discussion

Fuel requirements for tillage operations are given in Table 2 and time requirements in Table 3. In both fuel used and time, the no tillage treatments were the most economical, giving considerable savings over conventional tillage. Corn grew well in all four treatments. Weed growth was controlled

sufficiently by the herbicide treatments and rainfall was enough to make the surface applied fertilizer available to the corn. Soil cover in the no-till treatments was maintained for protection against soil erosion.

Yield differences (see Table 4) did not prove statistically significant, but the overall average of 96.6 bu/A was considered creditable for the conditions of this trial. The subsoiling treatments appeared to be advantageous even on the sandy soil where this trial was conducted.

Summary and Conclusions

Results of this one season's trials are very encouraging. A considerable saving of tractor fuel and time in the field was gained by the no-till or minimum tillage treatments and yields were comparable to conventional cultural practices. The no-till or minimum tillage concept appears well adapted for this area and should come into wider usage.

Table 1. Minimum Tillage Corn, Treatment Description, and Cultural Details, Sanford, FL 1980.

	Ediffered in 1980.			
1. 2. 3. 4.	. No-tillage plus in-row subsoiling . Conventional tillage (harrow, plow, harrow)			
	<u>Cultivar</u> : Funk G 4507 <u>Planting</u> date: April 1, 1980 <u>Har</u> vest July 15, 1980			
	Plot size: 40 X 57.5' or .053 acre Fertilization: At planting 425 lb/A 10-4-10 April 1, 1980 1st side dress 500 " " 22, 1980 2nd " " 1000 " " May 6, 1980			
	<pre>Nematicides:</pre>			

Table 2. Fuel Requirements, Minimum Tillage Trial at Sanford, FL 1980.

	Operation ¹				
Treatment	Harrow	Plow	Harrow	Plant	Total
gal/acre ²					
No-tillage				0.70	0.70
No-tillage + in-row subsoil				1.39	1.39
Conventional tillage Conventional tillage	0.70	1.54	0.68	0.80	3.72
+ in-row subsoil	0.70	1.54	0.68	1.34	4.26

¹Ford 4600 tractor for all except plowing; plowed with Ford 5600.

²Average of 3 replicates.

Table 3. Time Required for Tillage and Planting, Sanford, FL 1980.

	Operation				
Treatment	Harrow	Plow	Harrow	Plant	Total
		hours/	acre ²		
No-tillage				0.39	0.39
No-tillage, in-row subsoil	<u></u>			0.50	0.50
Conventional tillage Conventional tillage +	0.28	0.63	0.27	0.40	1.58
in-row subsoil	0.28	0.63	0.27	0.52	1.70

'Ford 4600 tractor for all except plowing; plowed with Ford 5600.

Table 4. Corn Yields, Minimum Tillage Trial, Sanford, FL 1980.

Tillage treatment	Corn yields bu/A @ 15.5	sl 5% moisture
No-till No-till + subsoil	82.9 103.4	No till average: 93.2
Conventional + subsoil	92.0 108.2	Conventional average: 100.1
LSD 0.05 Overall average	NS 96.6	

^{&#}x27;Average of 4 replicates.

²Average of 3 reps.